

Litigations Against Orchid Pharma Limited and Dhanuka Laboratories Limited and Promoters of the Companies					
Sr. No.	Case Title	Forum/Court	Summary of Case	Claim / Amount Involved (in INR Crs)	Type of Proceeding (Criminal / Tax / Statutory / Regulatory / Civil )
1	Lakshmi Vilas Bank (Now known as DBS Bank) vs M/s Orchid Pharma Limited,  Mr. S.V.Ramkumar (RP of Orchid Pharma Limited),  M/s Dhanuka Laboratories Limited	NCLT, Chennai	<b>Summary:</b> Orchid had availed a short term loan of Rs 50 Crore from LVB on 06.08.2012 against the security of title deeds of land parcel measuring 36.09 acres at Alathur. Thereafter the said land was acquired by LVB duly registered with SRO under sale deed dated 24.05.2013 against an amount of Rs 59.31 Cr and loan was closed. Since the land had existing structures which were essential for Orchid factory to run, both LVB and Orchid entered into a Lease Agreement dated 07.12.2013 wherein Orchid was required to pay lease rental to LVB for occupying such land area. Orchid paid the lease rental upto December 2015 and thereafter defaulted in payments.  LVB has filed an application seeking pre-CIRP dues from OPL, DLL and RP of OPL.  <b>Case Status:</b> DBS has filed an application seeking post CIRP dues from OPL and DLL.Reply has been filed by OPL and DLL. Rejoinder is awaited from DBS. Pleadings are complete. . case is listed for physical and final hearing  <b>Company's Position:</b> The amount being Pre-CIRP is not payable by the Company as per IBC.  <b>Claim Amount:</b> CIRP Dues for period 17th Aug 2017 to 31 March 2020, "before takeover of Orchid by	Claim by LVB: Rs 34.12 Lacs towards Insolvency Process Cost Plus Rs 46.36 Crore towards Lease Rental	Civil
2	DBS Bank Limited (Previously known as Lakshmi Vilas Bank) vs M/s Orchid Pharma Limited &  M/s Dhanuka Laboratories Limited	NCLT, Chennai	Orchid had availed a short term loan of Rs 50 Crore from LVB on 06.08.2012 against the security of title deeds of land parcel measuring 36.09 acres at Alathur. Thereafter the said land was acquired by LVB duly registered with SRO under sale deed dated 24.05.2013 against an amount of Rs 59.31 Cr and loan was closed. Since the land had existing structures which were essential for Orchid factory to run, both LVB and Orchid entered into a Lease Agreement dated 07.12.2013 wherein Orchid was required to pay lease rental to LVB for occupying such land area. Orchid paid the lease rental upto December 2015 and thereafter defaulted in payments.  <b>Case Status:</b> DBS has filed an application seeking post CIRP dues from OPL and DLL.Reply has been filed by OPL and DLL. Rejoinder is awaited from DBS. Pleadings are complete. Case is listed for physical and final hearing.  <b>Claim Amount:</b> Post CIRP Dues. period of dues claimed is from 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2022, after takeover of Orchid by Dhanuka Group  <b>Company's Position:</b> Company is in advance stage of negotiation and settlement with DBS for th Rental Charges as well as for future course of action.	Claim by DBS: Rs 44.24 Crore	Civil
3	Edna Braganza (Former Employee of Orchid Pharma Limited) vs Mr. S.V.Ramkumar (Erstwhile Resolute Professional of Orchid Pharma Limited)  M/s Orchid Pharma Limited,  M/s Dhanuka Laboratories Limited	NCLT, Chennai	<b>Summary:</b> Edna Braganza, a former employee of Orchid Pharma Limited, has filed an application claiming her dues pre-CIRP and during CIRP.The applicant has prayed for the liquidation of the corporate debtor as she has not received payment owed to her under Resolution Plan. The Resolution applicant has already implemented the Resolution Plan, and has duly paid as per approved plan. The money is lying in escrow account. The amount claimed by the application is not paid from the escrow account because Section 66 application for significant amount of money is recoverable from applicant. Meanwhile RP has also filed two IAs- 811/2019 and 819/2019 under section 66 of the IBC against Edna, claiming reversal of preferntial transaction.  <b>Current status:</b> . Since these IAs 811 and 819 are pending adjudication, RP has not released the amount of pre-CIRP to Edna. Orchid is ready to release the amount due during CIRP, subject to orders of Court.  Orchid and Dhanuka have filed replies. Rejoinder of Applicant Edna is awaited. now case is listed for physical and final hearing.	Rs 2.87 Crore	Civil
4	MR MUTHUVELR vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-..Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,75,348/-	Civil

5	MR BALAKUMAR R vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,69,381/-	Civil
6	MR RAVICHANDRAN G vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,60,336/-	Civil
7	MR NITHYANANDAM U vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,51,912/-	Civil
8	MR MURUGANANDAM P vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,48,186/-	Civil
9	MR RAMAIAH C vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 9,35,307/-	Civil
10	MR KRISHNA B vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,80,659/-	Civil
11	MR VELAYUTHAM G vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,76,528/-	Civil
12	MR RAVI E vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,73,126/-	Civil
13	MR CHELLADURAI D vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,56,764/-	Civil
14	MR MANIMARAN M vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,42,643/-	Civil
15	MR PADMANABHAN K vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 8,24,796/-	Civil
16	MR LAKSHMIKANTH T vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 6,90,228/-	Civil
17	MR VINAYAGAM K vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Additional Labour Court -II, Chennai, Madras high Court campus	We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,90,228/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 12,68,973/-	Civil
18	Federation of Medical and Sales Representatives Associations of India (CITU) vs Orchid Pharma Limited	Assistant Commisioner of Labour - 2, Kuralagam Chennai,	Sales representative demanding for reinstatement. This is a joint federation appeal by the previous staff of Orchid. This case is inactive at the forum and no further hearing dates have been notified currently. This plea is only via a letter submitted to Federation and not pressed as a case as of nowAssistant Commisioner of Labour - 2, Kuralagam Chennai.	Not assessable	Civil
19	Mr. Nagarajan N vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Industrial Tribunal Trisur	Demanding reinstatement- Vakalath filed. We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 7,45,452/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 7,45,452/-	Civil
20	Mr.Suresh Kumar P S vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	Labour Court Kollam	Demanding reinstatement- Vakalath filed. We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 6,30,684/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 6,30,684/-	Civil
21	Mr.Rafeeqe K T vs Orchid Pharma Limited & Dhanuka Laboratories Ltd	District Labour Office - Kozhikode	Demanding reinstatement- Vakalath filed. We have considered Gross Wages * current due months from date of case being filed as resonable estimate of the claim. In case of re-instatement with back wages the amount payable could be Rs 4,92,840/-.Upto Nov' 22	Rs 4,92,840/-	Civil
22	CITU Union vs Orchid Pharma Limited	Kancheepuram Court	Code of Conduct not signed and Wage Increment for 11 Employees kept on hold. PRE CIRP. Increment for year 2012 case claim.	Pre CIRP period: Increment due for 11 Employees of 2012- Rs 72,70,400 (Upto Nov 2022)	Civil
23	Special Director, Enforcement Directorate vs Orchid Pharma Limited-Pre-CIRP	AT-FE-PMLA	ED raised demand on 31 August 2020 for Pre-CIRP Transactions on OPL under FEMA Act 1999 . An Appeal filed by OPL against the order of ED.	Rs 8.42 Crore	Civil

24	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ Petition filed on 16.09.2021 by the Department against the order of ITSC in respect of following issues:</p> <p>1. Granting of deduction of Rs. 101.55 crore- u/s 10B of the Act; and</p> <p>2. Set-off of losses of Rs. 11.90 crore of Non-Export Oriented unit with profits from Export Oriented Unit prior to deductions u/s 10B of the Act</p> <p>Current Status: The Writ Appeal number provided to the corresponding Writ Petition number is wrong. Tried to do a party name search with the provided filing date. There is no data available.</p>	113.45 Crores	Civil
25	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ Petition filed on 16.09.2021 by the Assessee against the order of ITSC holding the distribution Partners as Deemed AE and re-distribution of profits at 70:30 as against 50:50 claimed by the assessee</p> <p>There will no tax out go even the writ of the company is not allowed as the demand against this issue is fully paid.</p> <p>Current Status: last listed on 20.04.2022 and was adjourned to 22.06.2022. But has not been listed since</p>	10134520	Civil
26	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ Filed on 16.09.2021 by the assessee challenging the notice issued by ITSC on a Miscellaneous Petition filed by the CIT seeking withdrawal of immunity granted by ITSC on Penalty. In case writ of the company is not allowed. AO may levy penalty u/s 271(1)(c) and considering multiple issues in block assessment, penalty not quantifiable.</p> <p>Current Case: last listed on 20.04.2022 and was adjourned to 22.06.2022. But has not been listed since</p>	10134520	Civil
27	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ petition filed on 14.02.2022 by assessee Challenging of Notice issued under Section 154 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 dated 31.03.2021 against notice u/s154.</p> <p>Current Status: last listed on 30.04.2025 and is pending on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras</p>	nil	Civil
28	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ petition filed on 14.02.2022 by assessee Challenging of Notice issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 dated 31.03.2021 against notice u/s148.</p> <p>Current Status: last listed on 30.04.2025 and is pending on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras.</p>	nil	Civil
29	Pre-CIRP IT Matter	High Court	<p>Writ petition filed on 14.02.2022 by assessee Challenging of Notice issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 dated 31.03.2021 against notice u/s148</p> <p>Current Status: last listed on 30.04.2025 and is pending on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras</p>	nil	Civil
30	Rahul Dhanuka Vs State of Punjab & Ors	Ld. ASJ, Ludhiana, Punjab	<p>Criminal Appeal has been filed against the Judgment and order of conviction of Hon'ble Learned Trial Court of Sh. P. S. Kaleka, CJM, Ludhiana in which Learned Trial Court has convicted the accused persons, Rahul Dhanuka, Praphul Patel, S.K. Chowdhry and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment of one year and fine of Rs. 20,000/- and also fined Rs. 20,000/- to M/s Dhanuka Agritech Limited.</p> <p>Product Involve: Triacontanol 0.05% GR B.No.- A 209-136 DOM- 16.12.2010 Exp.- 15.12.2012</p>	None	Criminal
31	State of Uttar Pradesh Vs Amar Pal Singh & Ors ( Rahul Dhanuka)	Ld. CJM, Moradabad, UP	<p>Complaint under section 29(1)(a) of Insecticide Act filed by the Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Insecticide Inspector-Cum-PPO, Moradabad against the accused persons, Amar Pal Singh &amp; Rahul Dhanuka.</p> <p>Product Involve: Cypermethrin 25% EC, B.No.DS25-576, DOM-April,2005, Exp.-March,2007.</p>	None	Criminal
32	Harsh & Rahul Dhanuka Vs State of U.P & Ors	Greater Noida Court	An appeal was filed on 15th December 2017 against the Eviction Notice dated 1st December 2017, issued by the Air Force Department	None	Civil
33	Bhupinder Singh Gill Vs Dhanuka Agritech Limited & MK Dhanuka & Ors	Ld. CJM, Faridkot, Punjab.	Complaint filed under section 420/465/468/471/506 IPC	None	Criminal

34	Licensing Officer (Insecticide) Vs M/s Azad Coop M/P Marketing Society Ltd. & Ors. ( M.K. Dhanuka)	Ld. JMFC, Rohini Court, Delhi	Complaint under section 200 Crpc pertaining to the offence under section 29(1)(a) of the Insecticide Act, 1968 and Insecticide Rules 1971.  Product Involve: Chlorpyriphos 50% + Cypermethrin 5% EC B.No.UKQ-0008, DOM-16.05.2019, Exp.-14.05.2021.	None	Criminal
35	Balchand Hirwani Vs Ram Gopal Agarwal	DCDRC - Durg - Chhattishgarh	Complaint filed under section 35 of the Consumer Protection Act.  Product Involve: Nabood (Carfentrazone 40% DF)	1,34,520.00	Consumer
36	Crop Care Federation Of India Vs Ram Gopal Agarwal	Ld. ADJ, Saket Court, Delhi	Defamation Suit Suit for Mandatory and Permanent Injunction, Damages, Defamation and other Consequential Reliefs.	1,00,00,000.00	Civil
37	Global Farm Policy Group Vs R G Agarwal	Mumbai City Civil Court - Dindoshi City Civil Court, Maharashtra	Defamation Suit	None	Civil
38	Mahendra Kumar Dhanuka Vs The State of Punjab & Anr.	Supreme Court	SLP filed for quashing of criminal proceeding filed by the Bhupinder Singh Gill before the Ld. CJM, Faridkot, Punjab.  P&H High Court dismissed the quashing petition bearing no. CRM-M-64016-2023 vide order dated 12.03.2024.	None	Criminal